*Contribution ID: 5c4c1f07-e68c-4b1f-82d7-356d413568e5

Date: 05/07/2022 16:34:10

Targeted consultation on open finance framework and data sharing in the financial sector

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

In finance and beyond, there is a broad technology-driven trend towards greater use of data and data sharing. The Commission highlighted the need for better access to public and private data and its reuse in the <u>data strategy for Europe (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/uri=CELEX:52020DC0066)</u>, which includes several crosscutting policy initiatives

- i. common European data spaces in various sectors of the economy
- ii. data sharing between businesses and governments
- iii. sharing of industrial data across sectors

In order to promote the ambitious data strategy across the economy, in 2020 the Commission proposed a <u>Data Governance Act (DGA) (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52020PC0767)</u>, a <u>Digital Services Act (DSA) (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52020PC0825)</u> and a <u>Digital Markets Act (DMA) (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52020PC0825)</u> and a <u>Digital Markets Act (DMA) (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52020PC0842)</u>. These initiatives, among other things, provide a coherent governance framework for the common European data spaces, establish rules for data intermediaries and other online intermediaries, as well as establish obligations for online gatekeeper platforms. Furthermore, in 2022 the Commission proposed a <u>Data Act (https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/data-act-proposal-regulation-harmonised-rules-fair-access-and-use-data)</u>, containing further policy measures as regards access to the "Internet of things" (IoT) data as well as general modalities for data access and reuse across the economy.

In 2020, the Commission also identified promotion of data-driven finance as one of the priorities in its <u>digital finance strategy (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/200924-digital-finance-proposalsen#digital)</u>. In 2021, the Commission established an expert group on European financial data space to continue its engagement with stakeholders in this priority area, which created a dedicated subgroup on open finance in 2022. Open finance should form an integral part of the European financial data space, along with data contained in public disclosures of firms as well as supervisory data. On 25 November 2021, the Commission adopted legislative proposals on the European Single Access Point (ESAP) to public disclosures as part of the <u>capital markets union (CMU) package (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/211125-capital-markets-union-package_en)</u>. The objective is to consolidate online access to the financial and sustainability-related data of companies and financial institutions in a single interface. The legislation also provides for a machine-readable format. On 15 December 2021, the Commission adopted its <u>strategy on supervisory data in EU financial services (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/211215-supervisory-data-strategy_en)</u>. Next, subject to an impact assessment, a new open finance framework has been announced in the <u>capital markets union communication (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52021DC0720)</u> of 25 November 2021, building on and in

full alignment with broader policy initiatives on data access and governance. The communication pointed out that an open finance framework should be based on the principle of a level playing field for existing and new entrants, and subject to data protection rules and clear security safeguards.

Open finance refers to third-party service providers' access to (business and consumer) customer data held by financial sector intermediaries and other data holders for the purposes of providing a wide range of financial and information services. Currently, third party service providers have to rely on limited sources of customer data access rights in the financial sector: the revised Payment Services Directive (PSD2) (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT//?uri=celex:32015L2366) with respect to payment accounts data of both retail and business customers, as well as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32016R0679) with respect to personal data held by any financial service provider. However, GDPR enables third party service providers to have direct access only when it is technically feasible, which therefore does not guarantee such access. The recent Data Act proposal does not introduce any new data access rights in the financial sector either.

Based on the Commission's mandate and as announced in the Retail payments strategy (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/200924-digital-finance-proposalsen#retail) of 24 September 2020, a PSD2 review has been launched to report on the application and impact of EU rules on payment services. The lessons learned from PSD2 as regards third-party service providers' access rights to payment accounts upon customer request will be taken into account when designing the open finance framework. Since the entry into force of PSD2, a number of stakeholder initiatives in this area have also developed, including application programming interface (API) standardisation and access schemes.

The present targeted consultation on open finance complements the "Have your say" consultation on open finance (included in the "Have your say" consultation on the PSD2 review). The objective of this targeted consultation is to gather evidence and stakeholder views on various aspects related to the state of play and further development of open finance in the EU and effective customer protection. It also takes into account and complements the ongoing work of the Expert group on the European financial data space (parts I and II). In addition, the targeted consultation seeks stakeholder views on the use of aggregated supervisory data for research and innovation and on broader questions of data sharing among financial firms for risk monitoring or compliance purposes (part III).

This targeted consultation is targeted at different stakeholder groups: customers of financial services firms (consumers and corporate customers), financial institutions and other firms which are either holding data or intending to use it.

Sections I and II of this targeted consultation covers the following data types

- the use of confidential customer data collected for the purpose of providing financial services (for example, this excludes the data contained in public disclosures and the use of data for supervisory and law enforcement or similar purposes)
- data held by both financial institutions and other firms, provided that it is used for the purposes of providing financial services
- · access to and reuse of raw data only, as opposed to enriched data

By way of illustration, the consultation covers: data on accounts held by corporate and retail customers with financial service providers (e.g. payments, savings, securities), as well as on their insurance and pension products, and data relevant to the risk and sustainability profile of such products.

As the nature and scope of practical use cases for open finance is constantly under development, this targeted consultation does not attempt to establish a full taxonomy of such cases. However, every respondent is expected to provide responses in particular for their area of activity. In addition, the consultation seeks feedback on two specific areas of use cases to illustrate which are of particular relevance to the Commission objectives of promoting safe retail investment, and SME access to finance.

Section III of this targeted consultation covers certain additional data sharing issues, beyond open finance. They seek

views on the need to enhance legal certainty about the possibility to make supervisory data available more extensively for research and innovation, and the possibility for financial institutions to exchange among themselves information and data to improve risk monitoring or compliance, while protecting data confidentiality.

Please note: In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact <u>fisma-psd2-review@ec.europa.eu</u> (mailto:fisma-psd2-review@ec.europa.eu).

More information on

- this consultation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-open-finance_en)
- the consultation document (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-open-finance-consultation-document_en)
- the use cases annex to the consultation document (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-open-finance-consultation-document-annex en)
- the related call for evidence on the open finance framework (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation /initiatives/plan-2021-11368_en)
- the related public consultation on the review of PSD2 and on open finance (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-psd2-review-open-finance_en)
- the related targeted consultation on the review of PSD2 (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-psd2-review_en)
- the related call for evidence on the review of PSD2 (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/initiatives/plan-2021-12798_en)
- digital finance (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/banking-and-finance/digital-finance_en)
- payments services (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/banking-and-finance/consumer-finance-and-payments/payment-services/payment-services_en)
- the protection of personal data regime for this consultation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-open-finance-specific-privacy-statement en)

About you

Language of my contribution

German

I am giving my contribution as

Business association

First name
Christian
Surname
Visser
Email (this won't be published)
visser@bipro.net
Organisation name 255 character(s) maximum
BiPRO e.V.
Organisation size
Small (10 to 49 employees)
255 character(s) maximum Check if your organisation is on the transparency register (http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public /homePage.do?redir=false&locale=en). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.
Country of origin Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.
Germany
Field of activity or sector (if applicable)
☐ Accounting
☐ Auditing☐ Banking
☐ Credit rating agencies
✓ Insurance ☐ Pension provision
 Investment management (e.g. hedge funds, private equity funds, venture capital funds, money market
funds, securities)
 Market infrastructure operation (e.g. CCPs, CSDs, Stock exchanges) Social entrepreneurship Other

_				
П	Not	app	lica	ble

The Commission will publish all contributions to this targeted consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') is always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only the organisation type is published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your field of activity and your contribution will be published as received. The name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the <u>personal data protection provisions (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-open-finance-specific-privacy-statement en)</u>

PART I

Part I of the consultation contains the following sections

- I. The relevance of data sharing in the financial sector
- II. Customer protection
- III. Modalities of data access and reuse in the financial sector
- IV. Technical infrastructure

The relevance of data sharing in the financial sector

Question 1. What type of actor in the data value chain are you?

·
☐ Individual customer of a financial service provider
☐ Business customer of a financial service provider
☐ Holder of customer data
User of customer data
☐ Data intermediary between data holders and users
Other

Please specify to what other type of actor you refer in your answer to question 1:

5,000 character(s) maximum

Please select as many answers as you like

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

BiPRO is an established and leading standardization institute for data and processes in the German and Austrian insurance industry (established in 2006)

Question 2. In what part of the financial sector are you active?

Please select as many answers as you like	Please select as	many answers	as you	like
---	------------------	--------------	--------	------

Banking
Payments
✓ Insurance
Asset management
Securities trading
Brokerage
Pensions
Data and information services
■ Not active in the financial sector

Other

Developmen insurance	nt and maintenance of standards for APIs and data structures in the sector.
_	your opinion, is there an adequate framework for data access rights in place in the beyond payment accounts?
O Yes	
No	
O Don't knov	w / no opinion / not applicable
businesses ("the following purportion of the following pur	
Please select as n	many answers as you like
	comprehensive overview of your financial situation based on data from all your existing ervice providers (e.g. consolidation of data from several investment portfolios)
financial s	. , ,
	dditional financial services from another financial services provider
Receive a	
Receive a	dditional financial services from another financial services provider
Receive a	dditional financial services from another financial services provider another financial services provider in an easy and simple way
Receive a	dditional financial services from another financial services provider another financial services provider in an easy and simple way
Receive and Switch to a Other None of the Question 5. What	dditional financial services from another financial services provider another financial services provider in an easy and simple way ne above
Receive and Switch to a Switch to a Other None of the Question 5. What Please select as no	dditional financial services from another financial services provider another financial services provider in an easy and simple way ne above at open finance-based products would stand to benefit retail customers the most?
Receive and Switch to a Switch to a Other None of the Question 5. What Please select as in Comparison	dditional financial services from another financial services provider another financial services provider in an easy and simple way he above at open finance-based products would stand to benefit retail customers the most? many answers as you like

	Personal finance management tools (e.g. overdraft alerts and recommendations for choosing lower interest rates products, lower overdraft charges)
	Personal wealth management tools to monitor and manage assets and liabilities (e.g. financial goal management, analytics of investments and their returns, monitoring of wealth factors such as savings, spending and budgets)
	Alternative credit scoring methods for financial inclusion (e.g. gig economy workers)
	Quicker customer onboarding with financial service providers
	Pension tracking tools that provide a comprehensive overview of entitlements
	Digital tools to assess the ESG profile of financial products (e.g. the environmental impact of investment portfolios or carbon footprint estimation of specific products)
	All of the above
	Other
benef i 5,000	ion 6. What would be your quantitative and/or qualitative estimate of such retail customer its for these products? O character(s) maximum or spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter then the MS Word characters counting method.
benef i 5,000	ts for these products?
5,000 includi	ts for these products? O character(s) maximum
benefi 5,000 includi Quest SMEs	ts for these products? O character(s) maximum ng spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. ion 7. What open finance-based products would stand to benefit corporate customers (notably
benefi 5,000 includi Quest SMEs	ts for these products? O character(s) maximum ng spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. ion 7. What open finance-based products would stand to benefit corporate customers (notably the most?
benefi 5,000 includi Quest SMEs	ts for these products? O character(s) maximum ng spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. ion 7. What open finance-based products would stand to benefit corporate customers (notably the most? e select as many answers as you like
benefi 5,000 includi Quest SMEs	ts for these products? O character(s) maximum ng spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. ion 7. What open finance-based products would stand to benefit corporate customers (notably the most? e select as many answers as you like Comparison tools that facilitate provider switching
benefi 5,000 includi Quest SMEs	o character(s) maximum Ing spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. ion 7. What open finance-based products would stand to benefit corporate customers (notably the most? e select as many answers as you like Comparison tools that facilitate provider switching Online brokerages that provide financial products with the best value
benefi 5,000 includi Quest SMEs	ts for these products? O character(s) maximum Ing spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. ion 7. What open finance-based products would stand to benefit corporate customers (notably) the most? In select as many answers as you like Comparison tools that facilitate provider switching Online brokerages that provide financial products with the best value Personalised advice and tailored financial products Personal finance management tools (e.g. overdraft alerts and recommendations for choosing lower)

Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 9:

5,000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

If a customer wants to share their data with another firm, there should be no restrictions on the type of firm. However, the applicable data protection rules must be considered. In return, non-financial firms should also share data with the insurance industry.

Question 10. Should financial firms holding customer data be entitled to compensation by third parties for making the data available in appropriate quality, frequency and format?

0	Yes
0	No
0	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Question 11. What other conditions are required to ensure the potential of open finance is maximised while minimising its risks?

5,000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Due to article 5(3) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) and the Protocol (No. 2) on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality national standards have already been established. Based on this, the European standardisation of APIs and data structures supporting open finance should be based in the insurance sector on the already existing and accepted standards developed by the national insurance specific standards organisations such as the BiPRO standards in Germany and Austria. These standards are the backbone of the digitalisation in the national insurance markets today and the market partners have already invested largely in the implementation and operation of these standards. The standardisation on the European level should lead to an interoperability between the national standards by the development of harmonised APIs and data structures based on the national standards. European standardisation involving all stakeholders from the industry including the national insurance standards organisations will maximise the potential of open finance and minimising its risks.

Question 12. What policy measures would be important to ensure a level playing field in terms of access to customer data?

Ensuring access by financial institutions to the non-financial data of customers	
 Subjecting all third-party service providers that access customer data held by financial seproviders to financial supervision and regulation 	ervice
Other	
A level playing field already exists, so no measures necessary	
On't know / no opinion / not applicable	

Please specify to what other policy measure(s) you refer in your answer to question 12:

5,000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

	Ensuring access by financial institutions to the non-financial data of
	customers. Access to customer data should be standardised (APIs and data
	structures) to reduce complexity.
Ques	stion 13. Does open finance framework bear any possible risk of accumulation of data, leading
to th	e creation of monopolies?
C) Yes
C) No
C	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Oue	estions for financial firms holding customer data
Que	stions for infancial firms holding customer data
Ques	stion 14. As a financial firm holding customer data, do you make any data available to third
	es beyond the data that you are required to share under PSD2, GDPR or other laws?
С) Yes
C) No
C	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
_	

Questions for firms using customer data held by financial firms

Question 15. As a firm using customer data held by financial firms, what is the purpose of accessing these data?

Please select as many answers as you like

Provision of services competing with the services offered by the data holder
Provision of additional services
Provision of analytical insights based on aggregated, including anonymised, data
Other

O Yes		
O No		
O Don't know / no opin	ion / not applicable	
	sing customer data held by financial firms, with how many data holders in o interact on average to develop and offer a financial product or service to	
C Less than 10		
O 10 to 99		
O 100 to 999		
O 1000 to 9999		
More than 10000		
O Don't know / no opin	ion / not applicable	
Question 17.1 In how mar	ny Member States would these be located?	
1 Member State		
O 2 to 5 Member State	s	
More than 5 Member	r States	
O Don't know / no opin	ion / not applicable	
Questions for financ	cial data intermediaries	
Question 18. As a financ sharing between data hole	ial data intermediary, have you experienced difficulties in organising data ders and data users?	
O Yes		
O No		

Regulation and supervision of open finance information services

Under PSD2, a dedicated licensing framework for account information service providers is in place to ensure proper regulation and supervision of these activities.

•	on services provides to provide consolidated information on one or more heither another payment service provider or with more than one payment
O Yes	
O No	
O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Questions for firms using customer data	held by financial firms
·	•
·	•
Question 20. Do you hold any financial servi	•

guarantee the lawfulness of data processing. Open finance framework should aim to establish trust by ensuring that customers are informed about the processing of their personal data, and that the information provided is accurate.

Question 21. In your opinion, what digital tools can strengthen a customer's ability to grant, track and withdraw consent?

Please select as many answers as you like

 Consent management dashboards to enable customers to track which third parties have been granted consent

05.07.2022, 16:35 13 von 38

	□ Digital identity solutions, such as European digital identity wallets ^[2] , which could help identify a customer online and authenticate consent				
Other					
² The European digital identity wallet is a product and service that will allow natural and legal persons in the Unio credentials linked to their identity, and provide them to relying parties upon request, for the purpose of authentication a to public and private services. It was proposed in June 2021 as part of the European digital identity framework (ell (https://ec.europa.eu/info/strategy/priorities-2019-2024/europe-fit-digital-age/european-digital-identity en) . See proposal for of the European Parliament and the Council amending Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 as regards establishing a framework for a European digital identity. Contact the council amending Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 as regards establishing a framework for a European digital identity.	nd access DAS review) a Regulation				
final (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52021PC0281)					
Question 22. In your opinion, who should provide such tools?					
Please select as many answers as you like					
☐ Data holders					
☐ Third parties					
Other					
Question 23. Do you believe that licensed firms in open finance should be required to operational tools to enable customers to manage their right of consent with respect to the	-				
Question 23. Do you believe that licensed firms in open finance should be required to operational tools to enable customers to manage their right of consent with respect to the financial services they are using? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Question 24. Should "strong customer authentication" (i.e. authentication based on the least two security elements) under open finance framework be only used when custor decide to connect/disconnect their account to a third party service provider or periodically?	use of at				
Question 23. Do you believe that licensed firms in open finance should be required to operational tools to enable customers to manage their right of consent with respect to the financial services they are using? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Question 24. Should "strong customer authentication" (i.e. authentication based on the least two security elements) under open finance framework be only used when custor decide to connect/disconnect their account to a third party service provider or periodically? Connect/disconnect	use of at				
Question 23. Do you believe that licensed firms in open finance should be required to operational tools to enable customers to manage their right of consent with respect to the financial services they are using? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Question 24. Should "strong customer authentication" (i.e. authentication based on the least two security elements) under open finance framework be only used when custor decide to connect/disconnect their account to a third party service provider or periodically?	use of at				

O Yes	
○ No	
On't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Data sharing can potentially result in market segmentation where consumers with a high-risk profile cours from the market because of certain characteristics or where those who choose not to agree to share a which extends beyond data deemed strictly necessary for the provision of the relevant product, may higher prices for services ('price for not sharing data'). At the same time, more granular risk pricing ma prices. The use of alternative data may even open access to financial services to hitherto excluded it businesses. The risk of data misuse, financial crime and fraud need to be appropriately managed in framework.	additional data, end up paying y lead to lower individuals and
Question 26. What are the key risks related to customer data sharing?	
Financial exclusion	
O Privacy breaches	
Misuse of data (incl. fraud and financial crime)	
Other	
On't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Question 27. What should be done to mitigate the risks of financial exclusion and data al	buse?
Please select as many answers as you like	
Establish best practice guidelines on customer profiling	
 Define in legislation specific data fields that may be used for customer profiling in the provarious financial services 	ovision of
 Introduce a mandatory requirement for the provision of basic services as part of the licer (akin to the basic bank account concept) and cap prices 	nsing regime
Other	

Question 28. Is there a need for additional rules in the financial sector to clarify the attribution of liability for the quality of customer data that is shared?

Yes, but liability principles must be tailored sector-by-sector
○ No
O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Question 29. In your opinion, should an open finance framework need a dispute settlement mechanism to mediate and resolve liability disputes and other customer complaints?
O Yes
NoDon't know / no opinion / not applicable
Data-driven finance necessitates the use of varied datasets, including public and private data, as well as personal and
non-personal data. This not only calls for a combination of differentiated policy approaches when building the European financial data space, but also requires consistency with cross-sectoral legislative frameworks. Relevant personal data includes financial data, e.g. as regards savings, mortgages, consumer credit, investments, pensions and insurance. Non-financial data may also be useful, including data from online platforms (e.g. social media, e-commerce and streaming), public entities (e.g. tax and social security), utilities (e.g. water and energy), telecommunications, retail purchases, mobility (e.g. ticket purchases), environmental data, and Internet of things (IoT) data. Relevant non-personal data includes business registry data and high value datasets to be shared under the Open Data Directive (https://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/uri=CELEX:32019L1024). 'Read' access allows for simple access to data, e.g. to populate aggregators and comparative tools. 'Write' access includes 'read' access and enables third parties to perform actions on customer's behalf, e.g. to open/close accounts in case of switching financial service providers or initiate other types of transactions. This sections covers questions on the type of data and type of access required for the
non-personal data. This not only calls for a combination of differentiated policy approaches when building the European financial data space, but also requires consistency with cross-sectoral legislative frameworks. Relevant personal data includes financial data, e.g. as regards savings, mortgages, consumer credit, investments, pensions and insurance. Non-financial data may also be useful, including data from online platforms (e.g. social media, e-commerce and streaming), public entities (e.g. tax and social security), utilities (e.g. water and energy), telecommunications, retail purchases, mobility (e.g. ticket purchases), environmental data, and Internet of things (IoT) data. Relevant non-personal data includes business registry data and high value datasets to be shared under the Open Data Directive (https://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/uri=CELEX:32019L1024). 'Read' access allows for simple access to data, e.g. to populate aggregators and comparative tools. 'Write' access includes 'read' access and enables third parties to perform actions on customer's behalf, e.g. to open/close accounts in case of switching financial service providers or initiate other
non-personal data. This not only calls for a combination of differentiated policy approaches when building the European financial data space, but also requires consistency with cross-sectoral legislative frameworks. Relevant personal data includes financial data, e.g. as regards savings, mortgages, consumer credit, investments, pensions and insurance. Non-financial data may also be useful, including data from online platforms (e.g. social media, e-commerce and streaming), public entities (e.g. tax and social security), utilities (e.g. water and energy), telecommunications, retail purchases, mobility (e.g. ticket purchases), environmental data, and Internet of things (IoT) data. Relevant non-personal data includes business registry data and high value datasets to be shared under the Open Data Directive (https://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/uri=CELEX:32019L1024). 'Read' access allows for simple access to data, e.g. to populate aggregators and comparative tools. 'Write' access includes 'read' access and enables third parties to perform actions on customer's behalf, e.g. to open/close accounts in case of switching financial service providers or initiate other types of transactions. This sections covers questions on the type of data and type of access required for the development of specific products and services in the financial sector. Question 30. Are you aware of any financial services or products based on data sharing that already
non-personal data. This not only calls for a combination of differentiated policy approaches when building the European financial data space, but also requires consistency with cross-sectoral legislative frameworks. Relevant personal data includes financial data, e.g. as regards savings, mortgages, consumer credit, investments, pensions and insurance. Non-financial data may also be useful, including data from online platforms (e.g. social media, e-commerce and streaming), public entities (e.g. tax and social security), utilities (e.g. water and energy), telecommunications, retail purchases, mobility (e.g. ticket purchases), environmental data, and Internet of things (IoT) data. Relevant non-personal data includes business registry data and high value datasets to be shared under the Open Data Directive (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/uri=CELEX:32019L1024). 'Read' access allows for simple access to data, e.g. to populate aggregators and comparative tools. 'Write' access includes 'read' access and enables third parties to perform actions on customer's behalf, e.g. to open/close accounts in case of switching financial service providers or initiate other types of transactions. This sections covers questions on the type of data and type of access required for the development of specific products and services in the financial sector. Question 30. Are you aware of any financial services or products based on data sharing that already exist or are under development beyond those enabled under PSD2?
non-personal data. This not only calls for a combination of differentiated policy approaches when building the European financial data space, but also requires consistency with cross-sectoral legislative frameworks. Relevant personal data includes financial data, e.g. as regards savings, mortgages, consumer credit, investments, pensions and insurance. Non-financial data may also be useful, including data from online platforms (e.g. social media, e-commerce and streaming), public entities (e.g. tax and social security), utilities (e.g. water and energy), telecommunications, retail purchases, mobility (e.g. ticket purchases), environmental data, and Internet of things (IoT) data. Relevant non-personal data includes business registry data and high value datasets to be shared under the Open Data Directive (https://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/uri=CELEX:32019L1024). 'Read' access allows for simple access to data, e.g. to populate aggregators and comparative tools. 'Write' access includes 'read' access and enables third parties to perform actions on customer's behalf, e.g. to open/close accounts in case of switching financial service providers or initiate other types of transactions. This sections covers questions on the type of data and type of access required for the development of specific products and services in the financial sector. Question 30. Are you aware of any financial services or products based on data sharing that already
non-personal data. This not only calls for a combination of differentiated policy approaches when building the European financial data space, but also requires consistency with cross-sectoral legislative frameworks. Relevant personal data includes financial data, e.g. as regards savings, mortgages, consumer credit, investments, pensions and insurance. Non-financial data may also be useful, including data from online platforms (e.g. social media, e-commerce and streaming), public entities (e.g. tax and social security), utilities (e.g. water and energy), telecommunications, retail purchases, mobility (e.g. ticket purchases), environmental data, and Internet of things (IoT) data. Relevant non-personal data includes business registry data and high value datasets to be shared under the Open Data Directive (https://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/uri=CELEX:32019L1024). 'Read' access allows for simple access to data, e.g. to populate aggregators and comparative tools. 'Write' access includes 'read' access and enables third parties to perform actions on customer's behalf, e.g. to open/close accounts in case of switching financial service providers or initiate other types of transactions. This sections covers questions on the type of data and type of access required for the

Question 31. Please explain briefly the potential that these services or products involving financial data sharing hold for consumers and/or businesses:

5,000 character(s) maximum

Questions for	r firms using customer data held by financial firms
Jsers of customer of with the data holder.	data held by financial service providers may access them based on an ad hoc contract conclude
Question 32. Hav	re you had any practical experience with ad hoc contracts to ensure data access?
O Yes	
O No	
O Don't know	/ no opinion / not applicable
Question 33. In y	our experience, are data holders willing to conclude such contracts in practice?
O Yes	
O No	
Don't know	/ no opinion / not applicable
	how much would you estimate the average cost of concluding an ad hoc contrac
for data access? 5,000 character(
for data access? 5,000 character(s) maximum
for data access? 5,000 character(aincluding spaces and	s) maximum

Contractual schemes

Contractual schemes are voluntary data-sharing mechanisms that are based on a contract. The <u>Euro Retail Payments Board (ERPB) (https://www.ecb.europa.eu/paym/groups/erpb/html/index.en.html)</u> is currently developing a contractual scheme between data holders and data users for access to data, with participation from business and consumer organisations. The Commission would like to better understand the potential of such contractual schemes for open finance.

EU take?

O No	
Not sure	
O Don't know	/ no opinion / not applicable
Question 36. Do	o you think that contractual schemes offer more benefits than just data & AP
O Yes	
No	
O Don't know	/ no opinion / not applicable
Please explain ye	our answer to question 36.1:
5,000 character	(s) maximum
including spaces an	d line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
With obliga	atory API standards contractual schemes will not be necessary.
costs of joining providing the rec 5,000 character	how much would you estimate the cost of membership in such a scheme (including the scheme, compliance/adjustment costs to meet scheme's requirements, costs or quired data access under the scheme)? (s) maximum d line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

18 von 38 05.07.2022, 16:35

Question 39. What further measures to promote market adoption of contractual schemes should the

to?

	Yes	No	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Savings accounts	0	0	0
Mortgage products	0	0	0
Lending products	0	0	0

EUSurvey	- Survey
----------	----------

Securities accounts and financial instruments holdings	0	0	0
Insurance and pension products	0	0	0
Risk assessment (eg credit and insurance risk)	0	0	0
Sustainability profile of financial services	0	0	0

Question 42. In your opinion if such new data access rights are introduced, should financial institutions that are SMEs^[3] holding customer data be excluded from any such obligation (see e.g. Art 7 of the Data Act)

³ Small and medium-sized enterprises include enterprises with staff number between 10 and 250 and turnover between 2 and 50 million euros or a balance sheet total between 2 and 43 million euros

Yes

O No

O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Question 43. In your opinion should large gatekeeper platforms^[4] requesting data access be excluded from being able to benefit from such data access rights (see Art 6(d) of the Data Act)

⁴ Gatekeepers are understood as providers of core platform services (such as online intermediation services, online search engines, online social networking services, video-sharing platform services, number-independent interpersonal communication services, operating systems, cloud computing services) which have a significant impact on the internal market, serves as an important gateway for business users to reach end users and have an entrenched and durable position in its operations or will have such a position in the near future

Yes

O No

On't know / no opinion / not applicable

(https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT important to ensure full compliance with GDPR (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT /?uri=CELEX:32016R0679) and e-Privacy Directive /?uri=CELEX:32009L0136) requirements, including when data is shared in real-time (e.g. standardised APIs). The GDPR provides several lawful grounds for the processing of personal data. If personal data is processed, the controller(s) must ensure that processing is based on lawful grounds in line with GDPR. Article 20 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 provides for a right of data subjects to receive personal data concerning them, in a structured, commonly used and machine-readable format, and to port those data to other controllers, where those data are processed on the basis of Article 6(1)(a) or Article 9(2)(a) or on a contract pursuant to Article 6(1)(b). Data subjects also have the right to have

EUSurvey - Survey

the personal data transmitted directly from one controller to another, but only where technically feasible.

Question 44. Have you made use of Article 20 GDPR to access financial data or been requested such data access under Article 20 GDPR in the financial sector, and if so how frequently?				
NeverRarelyRegularlyEvery week				
O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable				
Question 45. Are there any specific challenges related to the data processing principles of GDPR as regards				
Please select as many answers as you like				
data lawfulness, fairness and transparency				
purpose limitation				
 data minimisation (limiting data collection to what is directly relevant and necessary for a specified purpose) 				
data accuracy				
data storage limitation				
data integrity and confidentiality				
Other				
Question 46. In your opinion, what lawful grounds for the processing of personal data would be				

most useful for the purpose of open finance?

	1 (least useful)	2 (not so useful)	3 (neutral)	4 (quite useful)	5 (most useful)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Processing based on consent	0	0	0	0	0	0

05.07.2022, 16:35 21 von 38

EUSurvey	- Survey
----------	----------

Processing based on a contract	0	0	0	0	0	0
Processing necessary for compliance with a legal obligation	0	0	0	0	0	0
Processing necessary to protect vital interests of the data subject	0	0	0	0	0	0
Processing necessary for the public interest	0	0	0	0	0	0
Processing necessary for legitimate interests pursued by the controller or a third party	0	0	0	0	0	0

Question 47. Of the ones listed, which are the most important reasons preventing the portability right under Article 20 GDPR to be fully effective in the financial sector?

Please select as many answers as you like

The absence of an obligation to provide the data on a continuous/real time basis

▼ The absence of standardised APIs

The absence of standards ensuring data interoperability

☐ The absence of clear rules on liability in case of data misuse

☑ The absence of clarity as to which types of data are within scope
The absence of incentives for data holders to provide high quality data, as there is no remuneration for making data available
✓ Other
Please specify to what other reason(s) you refer in your answer to question 47: 5,000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
The absence of an obligation to use a standard (data structures and APIs) for the GDPR data portability (without an obligation the insurance stakeholders are not interested to develop and use a standard)
IV. Technical infrastructure
Data sharing in the digital economy would require a dedicated infrastructure that enables machine-readable access and machine-to-machine communication, so that the various firms in the data value chain can interact and cooperate efficiently. The task of putting in place such an infrastructure might be costly and involve many steps, including the standardisation of data and the access technology itself. Prior to engaging in such activities though, it is indispensable to determine what type of data format would be required. This section covers questions on the standardisation of data and application programming interfaces (APIs).
Question 48. Do commonly agreed standards on data formats exist in your area of activity in the financial sector?
 Yes No
They are currently being developedDon't know / no opinion / not applicable
Bont know / no opinion / not applicable
Question 48.1 If commonly agreed standards on data formats do exist in your area of activity, please specify what is the proportion of holders of customer data in the financial sector that make use of these standards?
Question 48.1 If commonly agreed standards on data formats do exist in your area of activity, please specify what is the proportion of holders of customer data in the financial sector that make use of these standards? O Less than 10%
Question 48.1 If commonly agreed standards on data formats do exist in your area of activity, please specify what is the proportion of holders of customer data in the financial sector that make use of these standards?

Quest forma	tion 49. Should the EU take further measures to promote market adoption of standardised data ts?
0	Non-binding calls on stakeholders
0	Make use mandatory
0	Other measures
0	None of this
0	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
5,00	e specify to what other measure(s) you refer in your answer to question 49: O character(s) maximum ing spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
M	andatory use in regulated areas, e.g. GDPR data portability. No measure in non-egulated areas.
Quest	tion 50. Should the EU take further measures to promote market adoption of standardised
0	Non-binding calls on stakeholders
0	Make use mandatory
•	Other measures
0	None of this
0	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
5,00	e specify to what other measure(s) you refer in your answer to question 50: O character(s) maximum ing spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
	andatory use in regulated areas, e.g. GDPR data portability. No measure in non-egulated areas.

Question 51. Who is best placed to develop common standards for APIs?

Industry stakeholders

O No

On't know / no opinion / not applicable

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS	Word characters count	ing method.	
Questions for financial firms holding	customer dat	a	
Question 62. Have you already developed an A pehalf of customers?	PI for data access	s by customers	and third parties
Yes, under PSD2			
Yes, outside the scope of PSD2			
O No			
On't know / no opinion / not applicable			
	in your operation	ns associated w	rith the use of su
	in your operation	ns associated w	rith the use of su
Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Question 64. What is your estimate of the cost and the ongoing costs for running it? 5,000 character(s) maximum	of setting up an A	PI for access to	
No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Question 64. What is your estimate of the cost and the ongoing costs for running it?	of setting up an A	PI for access to	

EUSurvey	- Survey
----------	----------

The cost of developing an API is subject to economies of scope – i.e. once an API is developed using it for additional types of data increases the development costs only marginally	0	0	0
The cost of developing and running an API is lower if it is based on generally accepted and widely used data standards	0	0	0

Question 66. Do you apply or intend to apply any generally recognised standards for your APIs beyond PSD2?

O	Yes, currently applied
0	Yes, envisaged
0	No, because no standards are available
0	No, because not interested

Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Question 66.1 Please specify for which generally recognised standards you apply or intend to apply:

5,000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

PART II

Part II of the consultation contains the following section

V. Specific questions on selected use cases involving data sharing in the financial sector

V. Specific questions on selected use cases involving data sharing in the financial sector

One potential use case would involve enabling access to customer information gathered in the context of the suitability and appropriateness assessment, as well as access to customer's investment data (e.g. securities accounts, pensions, etc.). In the context of its work on a retail investment strategy as envisaged by the <u>capital markets union action plan</u> (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/growth-and-investment/capital-markets-union/capital-markets-union-2020-action-plan_en, the Commission is considering ways to improve the suitability and appropriateness assessment in

order to help retail investors better achieve their investment goals. The present consultation includes questions on the access to and re-use of customer-profile data, as well as access to data on customer's current investments. In addition, this consultation contains questions on a use case relating to access to SME data to enhance SME financing options. Annex I provides an overview of other use cases that were discussed by the open finance subgroup.

Transferability of customer-profile data (Personal Investment Plan (PIP), suitability assessment) and access to customer data on current investments

The Commission is currently exploring different ways to improve the suitability and appropriateness regimes under the retail investor protection framework. One of the approaches being assessed is the above-mentioned PIP. The PIP would be a possible portfolio-centric approach to investing that the Commission is consulting on in a separate consultation (Targeted consultation on options to enhance the suitability and appropriateness assessments (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-suitability-appropriateness-assessments_en)). In short, the PIP onboarding process would entail gathering customer-specific data akin to the information currently collected by investment intermediaries under the suitability and appropriateness regimes. The 'output' of that assessment would be an asset allocation strategy that lays out the appropriate risk-return for the customer having regard to his or her investment objectives and constraints.

This targeted consultation explores how open finance might enable access to and reuse of customer-profile data and customer's current investment data in order to improve the suitability and appropriateness regimes under the retail investor protection frameworkand/or -should the Commission propose it - the possible development of a PIP. Customer profile data should be understood as comprising data that form the basis of the suitability and appropriateness assessments performed by financial intermediaries.

It should also be understood as covering both data which is required as input to the suitability and appropriateness assessments (or a possible future PIP) and the 'output' data. The former would comprise all the information that the financial intermediary is asked to collect in the process of suitability assessment. The latter is to be understood as the asset allocation strategy drawn up by the financial intermediary.

Enabling data to be shared between financial intermediaries with the customer's permission could prove to be an important element of the customer-centric and portfolio-focused approach to investing. This would have two aspects:

- First, the rules around portability of customer-profile would ensure that information can be seamlessly transferred by the customer to another financial intermediary. Such an approach might facilitate the uptake of new tailored and customer-centric approaches to help customers better manage their investments or to facilitate customer switching between intermediaries, or using multiple financial intermediaries. This might be achieved either by enabling the customer to receive the data in a standardised and structured form and transfer it onwards (portability) or by ensuring that brokers set up IT infrastructures such as APIs for the secure sharing of information.
- Secondly, enabling further innovation and supporting adequate product offer for the benefit of retail investors would require that financial intermediaries could access data on investment products already held by their customers (including securities accounts as well as life insurance and pension products). If financial intermediaries or other service providers gain or maintain an up-to-date overview of the customer's investments, they could develop new tools and services to offer more tailored products to retail investors, analogous to analytics services offered to retail customers based on PSD2 data. Such an approach could bring about additional data-driven portfolio analytics services, ultimately giving more tools to the investor to make informed investment decisions. Specifically related to the PIP, access to such data would allow financial intermediaries to assess whether customers' investments are in line with their respective asset allocation strategy or whether they may need to make adjustments.

Transferability of customer-profile data

Customer-profile data could, for example, include information on the customer's risk and sustainability preferences, knowledge and experience, transaction track record, ability to bear losses, wealth, income and the customer's investment horizon. It could also include relevant documents and information required under anti-money laundering and terrorist financing legislation.

Question 67. Do you think that customer-profile data should be accessible to other financial intermediaries or third-party service providers through an API-based infrastructure (subject to customer permission)?
YesNoDon't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 67: 5,000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Customer-profile data is part of personal data and therefore part of the GDPR data which is subject to the obligatory right of data portability.
as described above? 5,000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 69. In your opinion, are there any risks and constraints associated with sharing the customer-profile data between financial intermediaries?
O Yes
O No
O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Question 70. Please explain if these risks and constraints apply to the sharing of all or only specif data fields and how this could potentially be addressed:
5,000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 71. Please provide us with an estimate of costs that would be incurred by an investment firm in setting up data access points, e.g. in the form of APIs, to allow the customer to share his or
her customer-profile data:
5,000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Access to customer data on current investments
Question 72. Subject to customer's agreement, should financial intermediaries or other third party service providers be able to access data on customer's current investments with other financial service providers:
a) to develop new tools for the benefit of customer?
Yes
O No
O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Places explain years engages to supption 72 e).

Please explain your answer to question 72 a):

5,000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Customer's current investments are necessary prerequisites for a comprehensive finance management.

b) to ensure smooth implementation of the suitability and appropriateness assessments (or a possible compilation of a personal investment plan and to make implementation of the associated asset allocation strategy more efficient)?

	Yes
0	No
0	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 72 b):

5,000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Access to actual data on investment and insurance products already held by the customer is required for a comprehensive advice to the customer.

Question 73. Should the access be granted to	Question 73	. Should the	e access be	granted to
--	-------------	--------------	-------------	------------

	All data on all investments
0	All data on some investments
0	Some data on all investments
0	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 73, notably which data and which investments in the case of partial access:

5,000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Only the access to all actual data on all investment and insurance products already held by the customer facilitates a comprehensive advice to the customer.

Question 74. Subject to customer's agreement, should financial intermediaries and other third-party service providers be able to access data on customers' current investments with other financial service providers to provide investment analytics services, such as a consolidated overview of the client's investments and an assessment of the risk-return metrics of the client's portfolio?

O No

O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 74:

5,000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Only the access to all actual data on all investment and insurance products already held by the customer facilitates a comprehensive advice to the customer.

Question 75. Subject to customer's agreement and if third party access to customers' current investment data were to be enabled, should it also be made possible to access data on their past investments? In the affirmative, what would be the main use cases for sharing such data?

Yes

O No

O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 75:

5,000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Totalding opasse and line predict, i.e. suretor that the viola sharactere counting method.
Question 76. Do you think that enabling customers to share their data on their current investments cross financial intermediaries could encourage greater competition and innovation in the provision of investment services?
Yes
○ No
On't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 76: 5,000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Standardised access to all actual data is necessary for the innovation of customer services.
Question 77. Please provide us with an estimate of costs that would be incurred by an investment irm in setting up data access points, e.g. in the form of APIs, to allow the customer to share data on his or her current investments:
5,000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

SME financing

Similarly to the investment use case, the SME financing one consists of two aspects. First, SMEs frequently face challenges accessing credit and are exposed to higher transaction costs and risk premiums than larger enterprises. Lenders often lack sufficient information to assess adequately SME creditworthiness, price credit risk and tailor financial products. Primary data collection from SMEs during a loan application process is costly and may not deliver all the relevant data. To make sure that the funding provided is appropriate to the economic and financial circumstances of SMEs, credit institutions and other lenders might benefit from the additional access to data, including ecommerce data. Online commercial activity and other cross-sectoral data generally improves the quality of SME creditworthiness assessment and may lead to enhanced financing, with a positive impact on the overall financial health of SMEs.

Second, open finance principles could also be applied to the sharing of data relevant to SME funding applications among funding providers, which is one of the actions under the <u>capital markets union action plan (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/growth-and-investment/capital-markets-union/capital-markets-union-2020-action-plan_en).</u> Credit institutions and alternative providers could allow authorised funding providers to access the relevant SME data via APIs in a standardised and machine-readable format, subject to the SME's consent. Another possibility would be to ensure portability of data in a structured and machine-readable format that SMEs could transfer to other financial

intermediaries themselves. In both cases, the data shared would be retrieved from the SME's funding application. By facilitating the sharing of standardised data on SMEs with funding providers, such a scheme would have the potential to help SMEs secure funding while helping funding providers source new clients / investments.
Assessing SME creditworthiness
Question 78. Is SME data accessible today via regulatory requirements or are there practical examples of contractual access to data required for SME creditworthiness assessment?
Yes, SME data is accessible today via regulatory requirements
 No, there are practical examples of contractual access to data required for SME creditworthiness assessment
O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Question 79. Is the required data already standardised (e.g. either by market operators or via regulation)?

YesNo

Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Question 80. Is the data required for SME creditworthiness assessment readily accessible from a technical perspective (e.g. via standardised APIs)?

O Yes

O No

O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Sharing of SME data across financial institutions

Question 81. Do you think that a referral scheme for SMEs through an API-based infrastructure based on standardised data, giving a financial intermediary access to data held by another financial intermediary, could be effective in helping them secure alternative funding?

Yes

O No

O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Question 82. Please provide us with quantitative estimates of costs that would be incurred by a funding provider due to setting up data access points, e.g. in the form of APIs, to allow the SME to			
share its funding application data with alternative funding providers:			
5,000 character(s) maximum			
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.			
Question 83. Are you aware of existing practical examples of contractual access to SME funding application data?			
○ Yes			
○ No			
O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable			
Question 84. Are there any significant legal obstacles for accessing SME funding application datheld by another funding provider? Yes No			
O Don't know / no opinion / not applicable			
Question 85. What steps would be necessary to harmonise data formats and access conditions ensure adequate quality of SME data (accurate, reliable, complete, etc.)? 5,000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.			
PART III			
Part III of the consultation contains the following section			
VI. Other aspects of data sharing in the financial sector and related obstacles			

VI. Other aspects of data sharing in the financial sector and related obstacles

Use of aggregated supervisory data for research and innovation

The <u>supervisory data strategy of December 2021 (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/211215-supervisory-data-strategy_en)</u> states that the Commission will look into ways to make data available more extensively for research and innovation, while protecting data confidentiality. In its 2023 progress report, the Commission will assess whether any regulatory adjustments can be made to enable the sharing and reuse of reported data for innovation purposes.

Question 86 Are there any legal obstacles today to obtain and use fully anonymised and aggregated

supervisory d	ata for research and innovation purposes?
O Yes	
O No	
O Don't kn	ow / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain	your answer to question 86:
5,000 charact	ter(s) maximum
including spaces	and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
	n your opinion, what areas hold research and innovation potential based on the use of nd aggregated supervisory data?
5,000 charact	fer(s) maximum
including spaces	and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Legal certainty for voluntary data sharing among financial institutions to improve risk monitoring or compliance and further develop related tools

The <u>Commission proposals for a Digital Operational Resilience Act in the financial sector (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52020PC0595)</u> include explicit provisions clarifying that financial institutions may exchange amongst themselves cyber threat information and intelligence in order to enhance their digital operational resilience, in full respect of business confidentiality, protection of personal data and guidelines on competition policy (Article 40). These proposals were aimed to ensure legal certainty about the possibility of such exchange of information and data.

uestion 88. Would you consider it useful to provide for similar "enabling clauses" for other types information exchange among financial institutions?
○ Yes
O No
On't know / no opinion / not applicable
uestion 88.1 If you consider it useful to provide for similar "enabling clauses" for other types of formation exchange among financial institutions, please indicate in which areas and please
plain:
splain: 5,000 character(s) maximum
5,000 character(s) maximum

Additional information

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) below. Please make sure you do not include any personal data in the file you upload if you want to remain anonymous.

Useful links

More on this consultation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-open-finance_en) (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-open-finance en)

Consultation document (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-open-finance-consultation-document_en) (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-open-finance-consultation-document_en)

Use cases annex to the consultation document (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-open-finance-consultation-document-annex_en) (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-open-finance-consultation-document-annex_en)

Related call for evidence on the open finance framework (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/initiatives/plan-2021-11368_en) (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/initiatives/plan-2021-11368_en)

Related public consultation on the review of PSD2 and on open finance (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-psd2-review_en) (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-psd2-review_en)

Related targeted consultation on the review of PSD2 (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-psd2-review_en) (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-psd2-review_en)

Related call for evidence on the review of PSD2 (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/initiatives/plan-2021-12798_en) (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/initiatives/plan-2021-12798_en)

More on digital finance (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/banking-and-finance/digital-finance_en) (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/banking-and-finance/digital-finance_en)

More on payments services (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/banking-and-finance /consumer-finance-and-payments/payment-services/payment-services_en) (https://ec.europa.eu /info/business-economy-euro/banking-and-finance/consumer-finance-and-payments/payment-services /payment-services_en)

Specific privacy statement (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-open-finance-specific-privacy-statement_en) (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-open-finance-specific-privacy-statement_en)

Contact

fisma-psd2-review@ec.europa.eu